

Notice of Intent to Award

2/12/26

Procurement Type and Number	RFQ: 3150006644
Procurement Title	Dental Provider
Opening Date and Time	1/30/26 2:00 P.M.

The following vendors submitted responses to the above solicitation:

- Russel Slover

The following [vendor was/vendors were] determined non-responsive and/or non-responsible and has been notified separately of the reason(s) therefor:

The following is the ranking of the responsive and responsible offerors in order of highest overall score:

1. Russel Slover

Responses were evaluated according to the criteria stated in the solicitation. The following intended awardee received the highest overall score during evaluations. We announce our intent to award a contract to the following vendor upon approval by the Public Procurement Review Board:

- Russel Slover

We would like to thank each vendor for your time and efforts in preparing a response to this solicitation.

We invite you to contact Benjamin Purser, Procurement Agent II if you would like to request a post-award vendor debriefing where we can share with you any applicable information about **Your response** including significant weaknesses or deficiencies, technical ratings, and overall ranking specific to your **company's response**. This debriefing is a meeting and not a hearing; therefore, legal representation is not required. However, it you prefer to have

legal representation present, you must provide notification prior to the scheduled meeting so that we can also have legal representation present. Your request for debriefing must be received within three (3) business days after the issuance of this notice or no later than 2/18/26.

Vendors are reminded that any reconsideration of this decision must be submitted to Benjamin Purser/Cyndi Eubanks, Assistant Attorney General within seven (7) calendar days after the issuance of this notice or no later than 2/20/26. This reconsideration must be in writing, identify the name and address of the vendor, provide appropriate identification of the procurement and resulting contract number (if known), and detail the nature of the protest, including available supporting exhibits, evidence, or documents to substantiate any claims.

For Procurement file please see Procurement file for RFX#3150006644 on Boswell's Website www.BRC.ms.gov.

The successful vendor is instructed not to begin work, purchase materials, or enter into subcontracts relating to the project or services until execution of the contract.

We appreciate your interest in doing business with the State of Mississippi.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Purser



Boswell Regional Center
PO BOX 128/1049 Simpson Hwy 149
Magee, MS 39111
Phone 601-867-5017
Fax 601-867-5017
Email bpurser@brc.ms.gov



Dental Service Provider for Boswell Regional Center

Report Evaluation

Offerors Russel Slover Rank 1

It is the recommendation for the BRC evaluation Committee that the contract be awarded to Russel Slover . The evaluation committee has concluded that the rates of Russel Slover is fair, and that the proposal shows an understanding of the Statement of Qualifications and that the offeror has enough experience to complete the contract.

The contractor will provide Dental Services as requested by the medical services staff. Services will be performed at Boswell Regional Center. Any treatment/procedure other than examinations, x-rays, cleanings, fillings, and simple extractions will be recommended to and approved by the Medical Services Director or designee prior to the performance of such procedure. Any referral to another Dentist or specialist must be approved, in advance, by the Medical Services Director or designee. All other services will be emergency services or must be preapproved by the Medical Services Director or designee. The nature and scope of the work will be: Providing comprehensive diagnostic services and evaluation of each client's needs, including examinations, dental cleanings, and dental x-rays annually, providing necessary treatment services for all individuals, providing emergency treatment for individuals as required, providing dental progress notes on all individuals indicating the services provided. Each clinic will last two-to-four hours in length.


This Contractor will begin on July 1, 2026 and run for five years. As consideration for this contract the vendor will receive a fee not to exceed \$225.00 per hour for onsite dental visits for Boswell Regional Center clients from the main campus. The Maximum hours is 4 hours per week for a total of \$900.00 per week. The Contractor will also receive compensation for the Magee Group home Clients in the amount of \$750.00 per year per client. This charge is for preventative and basic dental needs all major dental needs will be billed separate depending on the needs of the client.

The total of the contract is not to exceed \$90,000 per year with a contract total of \$450,000.00 for the life of the contract.

All state procurement clauses and terms will be observed in the contract.

Thank you for your help in this matter.

Evaluation/Award Committee Members



Suzanne Wilder, HR Director



Wanda Davis, DON



Ricky Grimes, Assistant Director



Personal Service Contract Review Board
Form PSCRB-008
RFQ EVALUATION FACTOR SCORING SHEET

Scoring Sheet for Dental Provider for Boswell Regional Center

Using the table below, provide a list of the evaluation factors used in scoring the procurement, the total number of points available for each factor, and the number of points awarded to each respondent for each evaluation factor. The total number of points awarded to each respondent should be provided at the bottom of this table. This scoring sheet must be submitted to the Personal Service Contract Review Board with contracts that use an RFQ as a procurement method. If sub-categories are utilized in addition to the required factors below, a definition of the evaluation factor(s) and an explanation for the assigned weight(s) should be provided as well.

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (Points)	EXPLANATION OF WEIGHT ASSIGNED	Respondents/Points Awarded	
				Russell Slover	
Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pricing through Sealed Qualifications 	35 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pricing through Sealed Qualifications 	35	
Management Factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Management (degree proposed scheduling timeline meet the need) 	8 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Management (degree proposed scheduling timeline meet the need) 	8	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and Experience performing the work via Reference Checks. 	9 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and Experience performing the work via Reference Checks. 	9	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Availability of personnel, facilities, equipment, and other resources 	9 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Availability of personnel, facilities, equipment, and other resources 	9	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Qualification and experience of personnel. (Documented experience performing similar work by employees. 	9 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Qualification and experience of personnel. (Documented experience performing similar work by employees. 	9	



Personal Service Contract Review Board
 Form PSCRB-008
RFQ EVALUATION FACTOR SCORING SHEET

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (points)	EXPLANATION OF POINTS ASSIGNED	Respondents/Points Awarded		
				Russell Slover		
Technical factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree in which the offeror's qualification demonstrates a clear understanding of the scope of work. Degree the qualification demonstrates a capability to fulfill the requirements of the job. Degree of relevance of past performance or methodology. Degree of innovative technology and techniques used. 	7 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree in which the offeror's qualification demonstrates a clear understanding of the scope of work. Degree the qualification demonstrates a capability to fulfill the requirements of the job. Degree of relevance of past performance or methodology. Degree of innovative technology and techniques used. 	7		
		7 points		7		
		8 points		8		
		8 points		8		
Total Points Awarded		100points		100		



Personal Service Contract Review Board
Form PSCRB-008
RFQ EVALUATION FACTOR SCORING SHEET

Scoring Sheet for Dental Provider for Boswell Regional Center

Using the table below, provide a list of the evaluation factors used in scoring the procurement, the total number of points available for each factor, and the number of points awarded to each respondent for each evaluation factor. The total number of points awarded to each respondent should be provided at the bottom of this table. This scoring sheet must be submitted to the Personal Service Contract Review Board with contracts that use an RFQ as a procurement method. If sub-categories are utilized in addition to the required factors below, a definition of the evaluation factor(s) and an explanation for the assigned weight(s) should be provided as well.

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (Points)	EXPLANATION OF WEIGHT ASSIGNED	Respondents/Points Awarded	
				Russell Slover	
Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pricing through Sealed Qualifications 	35 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pricing through Sealed Qualifications 	35	
Management Factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Management (degree proposed scheduling timeline meet the need) 	8 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Management (degree proposed scheduling timeline meet the need) 	8	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and Experience performing the work via Reference Checks. 	9 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History and Experience performing the work via Reference Checks. 	9	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Availability of personnel, facilities, equipment, and other resources 	9 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Availability of personnel, facilities, equipment, and other resources 	9	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Qualification and experience of personnel. (Documented experience performing similar work by employees. 	9 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Qualification and experience of personnel. (Documented experience performing similar work by employees. 	9	



Personal Service Contract Review Board
 Form PSCRB-008
RFQ EVALUATION FACTOR SCORING SHEET

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (points)	EXPLANATION OF POINTS ASSIGNED	Respondents/Points Awarded		
				Russell Slover		
Technical factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree in which the offeror's qualification demonstrates a clear understanding of the scope of work. Degree the qualification demonstrates a capability to fulfill the requirements of the job. Degree of relevance of past performance or methodology. Degree of innovative technology and techniques used. 	7 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree in which the offeror's qualification demonstrates a clear understanding of the scope of work. 	7		
		7 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree the qualification demonstrates a capability to fulfill the requirements of the job. 	7		
		8 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree of relevance of past performance or methodology. 	8		
		8 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree of innovative technology and techniques used. 	8		
Total Points Awarded		100points		100		



Personal Service Contract Review Board
Form PSCRB-008
RFQ EVALUATION FACTOR SCORING SHEET

Scoring Sheet for Dental Provider for Boswell Regional Center

Using the table below, provide a list of the evaluation factors used in scoring the procurement, the total number of points available for each factor, and the number of points awarded to each respondent for each evaluation factor. The total number of points awarded to each respondent should be provided at the bottom of this table. This scoring sheet must be submitted to the Personal Service Contract Review Board with contracts that use an RFQ as a procurement method. If sub-categories are utilized in addition to the required factors below, a definition of the evaluation factor(s) and an explanation for the assigned weight(s) should be provided as well.

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (Points)	EXPLANATION OF WEIGHT ASSIGNED	Respondents/Points Awarded		
				Russell Slover		
Cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pricing through Sealed Qualifications 	35 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pricing through Sealed Qualifications 	Russell Slover		
Management Factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Management (degree proposed scheduling timeline meet the need) History and Experience performing the work via Reference Checks. Availability of personnel, facilities, equipment, and other resources Qualification and experience of personnel. (Documented experience performing similar work by employees. 	8 points 9 points 9 points 9 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Management (degree proposed scheduling timeline meet the need) History and Experience performing the work via Reference Checks. Availability of personnel, facilities, equipment, and other resources Qualification and experience of personnel. (Documented experience performing similar work by employees. 	35 8 9 9 9		



Personal Service Contract Review Board
 Form PSCRB-008
RFQ EVALUATION FACTOR SCORING SHEET

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (points)	EXPLANATION OF POINTS ASSIGNED	Respondents/Points Awarded	
				Russell Slover	
Technical factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree in which the offeror's qualification demonstrates a clear understanding of the scope of work. Degree the qualification demonstrates a capability to fulfill the requirements of the job. Degree of relevance of past performance or methodology. Degree of innovative technology and techniques used. 	7 points 7 points 8 points 8 points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Degree in which the offeror's qualification demonstrates a clear understanding of the scope of work. Degree the qualification demonstrates a capability to fulfill the requirements of the job. Degree of relevance of past performance or methodology. Degree of innovative technology and techniques used. 	7 7 8 8	
Total Points Awarded		100points		100	

Discussions Held report

We did not receive any discussions for RFQ#3150006644.

Evaluation Committee Members List


The following are the Evaluation Committee members for the contract for Dental provider for Boswell Regional Center.

1. Suzanne Wilder- She is Boswell Regional Center's Human Resources Director and has experience hiring all full time and contract worker positions. She has a master's degree in human resources.
2. Wanda Davis- She is the Director of Nursing Services for Boswell Regional Center. She has direct oversight of the day-to-day activities for dental providers. She has an associate's degree in nursing and 39 years of nursing experience. 25 years of those in critical care, 3 years experience as a surveyor for CMS, 4 years of emergency room care and 7 years experience at Boswell Regional Center as Don.
3. Ricky Grimes- He is the Assistant Director of Boswell Regional Center and has a Masters in Business Administration and services as our Nursing Home Administrator.

Post Evaluation Affidavits

1. My name is Benjamin Purser. I am above the age of legal majority and am a resident of the State of Mississippi. I am of sound mind and judgment and suffer no legal disabilities. I have personal knowledge of all statements herein.
2. From 12/18/2025 to 2/12/2026, I participated as a(n) Procurement Officer in the procurement process in which Boswell Regional Center issued a Request for Qualifications RFX Number 3150006644 seeking Pharmacy Consultant Services.
3. I participated in the procurement process described in Paragraph 2 in good faith. At all relevant times, I acted with integrity. I was not motivated by bias for or against any particular offeror, any other unethical consideration, or by achieving a specific outcome from the procurement.
4. My participation in the process was limited to soliciting, processing, and prepare documents for review for the [proposals, qualifications] received in response to the solicitation described in Paragraph 2 solely on the criteria stated in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications]. I did not consider factors outside of the criteria stated in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications] when [evaluating, advising].
5. I have reviewed the final calculation of points awarded and have determined the final scores are a true and correct representation of the evaluation committee's collective assessment of the [proposals, qualifications] received in response to the solicitation described in Paragraph 2.
6. I understand that the goal of the procurement process is to obtain best value Boswell Regional Center in a competitive, fair, and transparent process. It is my belief that the intended awardee's [proposal, qualification] represents the best value for Boswell Regional Center based on the criteria in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications].
7. I have reviewed the Mississippi Ethics in Government laws, Mississippi Code Annotated §§ 25-4-101 through 25-4-121, and am not in violation of such laws with regard to my participation in procurement process described in Paragraph 2.
8. I have no personal knowledge that any other participant in the procurement process described in Paragraph 2 acted in violation of the Mississippi Ethics in Government laws or otherwise acted with dishonesty, bias, or other unethical conduct. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information contained herein is true, correct, and complete.

DATE: 2/17/26;

SIGNATURE OF EMPLOYEE: 

This Date Personally Appeared Before Me, the undersigned authority, in and for SIMPSON County, State of Mississippi, the above named employee, who, being first duly sworn, state on his/her oath that the above facts are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge. GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL, this the 13 day of Feb 20 26



Notary Public



Post Evaluation Affidavits

1. My name is Suzanne Wilder. I am above the age of legal majority and am a resident of the State of Mississippi. I am of sound mind and judgment and suffer no legal disabilities. I have personal knowledge of all statements herein.

2. From 12/18/2025 to 2/12/2026, I participated as a(n) evaluator in the procurement process in which Boswell Regional Center issued a Request for Qualifications RFX Number 3150006644 seeking Pharmacy Consultant Services.

3. I participated in the procurement process described in Paragraph 2 in good faith. At all relevant times, I acted with integrity. I was not motivated by bias for or against any particular offeror, any other unethical consideration, or by achieving a specific outcome from the procurement.

4. My participation in the process was limited to evaluating the [proposals, qualifications] received in response to the solicitation described in Paragraph 2 solely on the criteria stated in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications]. I did not consider factors outside of the criteria stated in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications] when [evaluating, advising].

5. I have reviewed the final calculation of points awarded and have determined the final scores are a true and correct representation of the evaluation committee's collective assessment of the [proposals, qualifications] received in response to the solicitation described in Paragraph 2.

6. I understand that the goal of the procurement process is to obtain best value Boswell Regional Center in a competitive, fair, and transparent process. It is my belief that the intended awardee's [proposal, qualification] represents the best value for Boswell Regional Center based on the criteria in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications].

7. I have reviewed the Mississippi Ethics in Government laws, Mississippi Code Annotated §§ 25-4-101 through 25-4-121, and am not in violation of such laws with regard to my participation in procurement process described in Paragraph 2.

8. I have no personal knowledge that any other participant in the procurement process described in Paragraph 2 acted in violation of the Mississippi Ethics in Government laws or otherwise acted with dishonesty, bias, or other unethical conduct. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information contained herein is true, correct, and complete.

DATE: Feb 12; 2026

SIGNATURE OF EMPLOYEE:

[Handwritten Signature]

This Date Personally Appeared Before Me, the undersigned authority, in and for Simpson County, State of Mississippi, the above named employee, who, being first duly sworn, state on his/her oath that the above facts are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge. GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL, this the 13 day of Feb 20 26

[Handwritten Signature]

Notary Public



Post Evaluation Affidavits

1. My name is Wanda Davis. I am above the age of legal majority and am a resident of the State of Mississippi. I am of sound mind and judgment and suffer no legal disabilities. I have personal knowledge of all statements herein.
2. From 12/18/2025 to 2/12/2026, I participated as a(n) evaluator in the procurement process in which Boswell Regional Center issued a Request for Qualifications RFX Number 3150006644 seeking Pharmacy Consultant Services.
3. I participated in the procurement process described in Paragraph 2 in good faith. At all relevant times, I acted with integrity. I was not motivated by bias for or against any particular offeror, any other unethical consideration, or by achieving a specific outcome from the procurement.
4. My participation in the process was limited to evaluating the [proposals, qualifications] received in response to the solicitation described in Paragraph 2 solely on the criteria stated in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications]. I did not consider factors outside of the criteria stated in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications] when [evaluating, advising].
5. I have reviewed the final calculation of points awarded and have determined the final scores are a true and correct representation of the evaluation committee's collective assessment of the [proposals, qualifications] received in response to the solicitation described in Paragraph 2.
6. I understand that the goal of the procurement process is to obtain best value Boswell Regional Center in a competitive, fair, and transparent process. It is my belief that the intended awardee's [proposal, qualification] represents the best value for Boswell Regional Center based on the criteria in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications].
7. I have reviewed the Mississippi Ethics in Government laws, Mississippi Code Annotated §§ 25-4-101 through 25-4-121, and am not in violation of such laws with regard to my participation in procurement process described in Paragraph 2.
8. I have no personal knowledge that any other participant in the procurement process described in Paragraph 2 acted in violation of the Mississippi Ethics in Government laws or otherwise acted with dishonesty, bias, or other unethical conduct. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information contained herein is true, correct, and complete.

DATE: 2-12-26;

SIGNATURE OF EMPLOYEE: _____

[Handwritten Signature]

This Date Personally Appeared Before Me, the undersigned authority, in and for Simpson County, State of Mississippi, the above named employee, who, being first duly sworn, state on his/her oath that the above facts are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge. GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL, this the 13 day of Feb 2026

[Handwritten Signature]


Notary Public



Post Evaluation Affidavits

1. My name is Ricky Grimes. I am above the age of legal majority and am a resident of the State of Mississippi. I am of sound mind and judgment and suffer no legal disabilities. I have personal knowledge of all statements herein.
2. From 12/18/2025 to 2/12/2026, I participated as a(n) evaluator in the procurement process in which Boswell Regional Center issued a Request for Qualifications RFX Number 3150006644 seeking Pharmacy Consultant Services.
3. I participated in the procurement process described in Paragraph 2 in good faith. At all relevant times, I acted with integrity. I was not motivated by bias for or against any particular offeror, any other unethical consideration, or by achieving a specific outcome from the procurement.
4. My participation in the process was limited to evaluating the [proposals, qualifications] received in response to the solicitation described in Paragraph 2 solely on the criteria stated in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications]. I did not consider factors outside of the criteria stated in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications] when [evaluating, advising].
5. I have reviewed the final calculation of points awarded and have determined the final scores are a true and correct representation of the evaluation committee's collective assessment of the [proposals, qualifications] received in response to the solicitation described in Paragraph 2.
6. I understand that the goal of the procurement process is to obtain best value Boswell Regional Center in a competitive, fair, and transparent process. It is my belief that the intended awardee's [proposal, qualification] represents the best value for Boswell Regional Center based on the criteria in the Request for [Proposals, Qualifications].
7. I have reviewed the Mississippi Ethics in Government laws, Mississippi Code Annotated §§ 25-4-101 through 25-4-121, and am not in violation of such laws with regard to my participation in procurement process described in Paragraph 2.
8. I have no personal knowledge that any other participant in the procurement process described in Paragraph 2 acted in violation of the Mississippi Ethics in Government laws or otherwise acted with dishonesty, bias, or other unethical conduct. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information contained herein is true, correct, and complete.

DATE: 2/12/26;

SIGNATURE OF EMPLOYEE: 

This Date Personally Appeared Before Me, the undersigned authority, in and for SIMPSON County, State of Mississippi, the above named employee, who, being first duly sworn, state on his/her oath that the above facts are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge. GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL, this the 13 day of Feb 20 26



Notary Public

